

ERSTE BANK HUNGARY ZRT.

**AUDITOR'S OPINION
AND ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

2020. DECEMBER 31.



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the shareholders of Erste Bank Hungary Zrt.

Report on the audit of the financial statements

Opinion

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Erste Bank Hungary Zrt. (the "Company") which comprise the statement of financial position as of 31 December 2020 (in which the total assets is MHUF 3,620,880), the income statement, the statement of comprehensive income (in which the total comprehensive income for the year is MHUF 17,662 profit), the statement of changes in equity, the statement of cash flows for the year then ended and the notes to the financial statements comprising significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Company as at 31 December 2020, and of its financial performance and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as adopted by the EU and they have been prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the supplementary requirements of Act C of 2000 on Accounting ("Accounting Act") relevant for the annual financial statements prepared in accordance with IFRS as adopted by the EU.

Our opinion is consistent with our additional report to the audit committee dated 9 April 2021.

Basis for opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with Hungarian National Standards on Auditing ("HNSA") and with applicable laws and regulations in force in Hungary. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the "Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements" section of our report.

We are independent of the Company in accordance with the applicable laws of Hungary, with the Hungarian Chamber of Auditors' Rules on ethics and professional conduct of auditors and on disciplinary process and, for matters not regulated in the Rules, with the International Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (including International Independence Standards) issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA Code) and we also comply with further ethical requirements set out in these.

The non-audit services that we have provided to the Company, in the period from 1 January 2020 to 31 December 2020, are disclosed in note 44 to the financial statements.

To the best of our knowledge and belief, we declare that non-audit services that we have provided to the Company are in accordance with the applicable laws and regulations in Hungary and that we have not provided non-audit services that are prohibited under Article 5 of Regulation of the European Parliament and Committee No 537/2014 and Subsection (1) and (2) of Section 67/A of Act LXXV of 2007 on the Chamber of Hungarian Auditors, the Activities of Auditors, and on the Public Oversight of Auditors.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.



Our audit approach

Overview

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| <i>Overall materiality</i> | Overall materiality applied was MHUF 2,381 |
| <i>Key Audit Matter</i> | Credit loss allowance on loans and advances to customers |

As part of designing our audit, we determined materiality and assessed the risks of material misstatement in the financial statements. In particular, we considered where management made subjective judgements; for example, in respect of significant accounting estimates that involved making assumptions and considering future events that are inherently uncertain. As in all of our audits, we also addressed the risk of management override of internal controls, including among other matters, consideration of whether there was evidence of bias that represented a risk of material misstatement due to fraud.

We tailored the scope of our audit in order to perform sufficient work to enable us to provide an opinion on the financial statements as a whole, taking into account the structure of the Company, the accounting processes and controls, and the industry in which the Company operates.

Materiality

The scope of our audit was influenced by our application of materiality. An audit is designed to obtain reasonable assurance whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement. Misstatements may arise due to fraud or error. They are considered material if individually or in aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements.

Based on our professional judgement, we determined certain quantitative thresholds for materiality, including the overall materiality for the financial statements as a whole as set out in the table below. These, together with qualitative considerations, helped us to determine the scope of our audit and the nature, timing and extent of our audit procedures and to evaluate the effect of misstatements, both individually and in aggregate on the financial statements as a whole.

| | |
|--|---|
| <i>Materiality</i> | MHUF 2,381 |
| <i>Determination</i> | 5% of the average profit before tax of the last 3 years |
| <i>Rationale for the materiality benchmark applied</i> | We chose profit before tax as the benchmark because, in our view, it is the benchmark against which the performance of the Company is most commonly measured by users, and is a generally accepted benchmark. We chose 5%, which is consistent with quantitative materiality thresholds used for profit-oriented companies in this sector. |

Key audit matters

Key audit matters are those matters that, in our professional judgment, were of most significance in our audit of the financial statements of the current period. These matters were addressed in the context of our audit of the financial statements as a whole, and in forming our opinion thereon, and we do not provide a separate opinion on these matters.



Key audit matter

How our audit addressed the key audit matter

Credit loss allowance on loans and advances to customers

The net amount of loans and advances to customers at amortised cost was MHUF 1,485,831 as at 31 December 2020, representing 40% of total assets. Credit loss allowance recognised in the balance sheet amounted to MHUF 52,261.

Management disclosed related assumptions, balances and estimates in section C and D of the notes to the financial statements on accounting policy, as well as in notes E.11., 15. and 32.

Credit loss allowance recognised on expected credit losses is determined on the basis of subjective criteria and management is required to apply significant judgement when calculating individual and collective expected credit loss allowances **especially when considering the current uncertain economic** environment as a result of COVID-19 pandemic.

The first step in the expected credit loss calculation is to identify whether there was significant increase in credit risk. The selected indicators will determine whether a 12-month or a lifetime expected credit loss is calculated.

In the calculation of individual expected credit loss, the most significant uncertainty is involved in the estimation of expected future cash flows, and in probability weighting of cash-flow scenarios, where cash flows include recoveries both from collections of contractual cash flows and from collaterals.

The Company applies impairment models to calculate collective loss allowances. These models quantify the probability of default, exposure at default and the loss at default as the primary parameters in the estimation of the recoverable amount, taking into account forward looking information – in line with the requirements of IFRS 9.

We gained an understanding of the lending process from disbursement to monitoring and to the calculation of impairment, identified the main control points, and tested their operational effectiveness, including management's approval. Thereby the focus was on adaptations of methods and processes introduced to capture the increased uncertainties of the present and future environment due to the COVID-19 pandemic in expected credit losses.

We performed credit review for individually significant loans on a sample basis. We checked the customer's rating by the Company based on credit application and monitoring documents as well as customer-related financial and non-financial information.

For a sample of individually impaired loans, we checked whether assumptions, estimations and scenario weightings applied in calculations of the recoverable amount are reasonable and whether the calculations are correct.

For collective loss allowances we assessed whether the methodology applied by the Company was compliant with IFRS 9 with the support of our internal modelling experts. We read the validation documents, recalculated, on a sample basis, selected model parameters and the expected credit loss allowances, and assessed the tool used by the Company to calculate expected credit loss allowances.

We checked input data for the expected credit loss allowance calculation (both historical and measurement data), indicators used to determine whether there was significant increase in credit risk and analysed the development of credit losses.

To address increased estimation uncertainty related to Covid-19, we evaluated the adequacy of credit risk parameters and models taking into consideration possible distortions of currently observed data due to state payment support programs. We also critically assessed the plausibility of expectations and estimates, that have been introduced due to aforementioned



The modelling methodologies are developed using historical experience, which - in unprecedented economic conditions that currently vary across customer segments and industry sectors - can result in limitations in their reliability to appropriately estimate expected credit loss.

A further limitation is caused by the fact, that, to reduce the economic consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic, the Hungarian government has introduced loan payment support programs, including moratoria on loan repayment transactions available to and used by a majority of debtors. These programs complicate a timely reflection of a potential deterioration of the loan portfolio and result in artificially low observed default rates.

To address these limitations, management applied quantitative and qualitative adjustments to expected credit loss that include the following:

- Additional criteria to assess significant increase in credit risk
- Adjustment of macroeconomic assumptions and the weighting of the applied scenarios
- Additional adaptations of the estimation method of credit risk parameters

We paid considerable attention to this area during our audit due to the significance of the amounts involved and because of the subjective nature of the judgments and assumptions that management is required to make, particularly due the high level of uncertainty that can be experienced in assessing the economic impact of the COVID-19 pandemic.

distortions, to identify significant increases in credit risk of single customers or customer groups.

We read section C, D and points E.11., 15. and 32. of the notes to the financial statements to assess whether disclosures are in line with the applicable regulations.

Other information: the business report

Other information comprises the business report of the Company. Management is responsible for the preparation of the business report in accordance with the provisions of the Accounting Act and other relevant regulations. Our opinion on the financial statements expressed in the “Opinion” section of our independent auditor’s report does not cover the business report.



In connection with our audit of the financial statements, our responsibility is to read the business report and, in doing so, consider whether the business report is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit, or otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If based on our work performed we conclude that the business report is materially misstated we are required to report this fact and the nature of the misstatement.

Based on the Accounting Act, it is also our responsibility when reading the business report to consider whether the business report has been prepared in accordance with the provisions of the Accounting Act and other relevant regulations, if any, and to express an opinion on this and on whether the business report is consistent with the financial statements.

As the Company is a public interest entity and the conditions in Paragraph a) and b) of Subsection (1) of Section 95/C of the Accounting Act are met at the balance sheet date, the Company shall publish a non-financial statement required by 95/C in its business report. In this respect, we shall state whether the business report includes the non-financial statement required by Section 95/C of the Accounting Act.

In our opinion, the 2020 business report of the Company is consistent with the 2020 financial statements in all material respects, and the business report has been prepared in accordance with the provisions of the Accounting Act. As there is no other regulation prescribing further requirements for the business report, we do not express an opinion in this respect.

We are not aware of any other material inconsistency or material misstatement in the business report therefore we have nothing to report in this respect.

The business report includes the non-financial statement required by Section 95/C.

Responsibilities of management and those charged with governance for the financial statements

Management is responsible for the preparation of the financial statements that give a true and fair view in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as adopted by the EU and to prepare the financial statements in accordance with the supplementary requirements of the Accounting Act relevant for the annual financial statements prepared in accordance with IFRS as adopted by the EU, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is responsible for assessing the Company's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting in the financial statements unless management either intends to liquidate the Company or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so.

Those charged with governance are responsible for overseeing the Company's financial reporting process.



Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with HNSAs will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

As part of an audit in accordance with HNSAs, we exercise professional judgment and maintain professional scepticism throughout the audit. We also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management.
- Conclude on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting in the financial statements and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditor's report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause the Company to cease to continue as a going concern.
- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that gives a true and fair view.

We communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.

We also provide those charged with governance with a statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, and to communicate with them all relationships and other matters that may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence, and where applicable, actions taken to eliminate threats or safeguards applied.



From the matters communicated with those charged with governance, we determine those matters that were of most significance in the audit of the financial statements of the current period and are therefore the key audit matters. We describe these matters in our auditor's report unless law or regulation precludes public disclosure about the matter or when, in extremely rare circumstances, we determine that a matter should not be communicated in our report because the adverse consequences of doing so would reasonably be expected to outweigh the public interest benefits of such communication.

Report on other legal and regulatory requirements

We were first appointed as auditors of the Company on 25 April 2017. Our appointment has been renewed annually by shareholder resolutions representing a total period of uninterrupted engagement appointment of four years.

The engagement partner on the audit resulting in this independent auditor's report is Árpád Balázs.

Budapest, 9 April 2021

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Árpád Balázs', is written over a light grey horizontal line.

Árpád Balázs
Partner
Statutory auditor
Licence number: 006931
PricewaterhouseCoopers Könyvvizsgáló Kft.
1055 Budapest, Bajcsy-Zsilinszky út 78.
Licence Number: 001464